

**Diastolic Function**

# Effect of Obesity and Overweight on Left Ventricular Diastolic Function

## A Community-Based Study in an Elderly Cohort

Cesare Russo, MD,\* Zhezhen Jin, PhD,† Shunichi Homma, MD,\* Tatjana Rundek, MD,‡  
Mitchell S. V. Elkind, MD,§ Ralph L. Sacco, MD,¶|| Marco R. Di Tullio, MD\*

*New York, New York; and Miami, Florida*

**Objectives**

The purpose of this study was to assess the independent effect of increased body size on left ventricular (LV) diastolic function.

**Background**

Obese and overweight persons are at increased risk of heart failure. Left ventricular diastolic dysfunction is an asymptomatic condition associated with future heart failure. It is unclear whether obesity and overweight are independently associated with LV diastolic dysfunction.

**Methods**

The LV diastolic function was evaluated in 950 participants from the CABL (Cardiovascular Abnormalities and Brain Lesions) study by traditional and tissue Doppler imaging. Peak early transmitral diastolic flow velocity (E), late transmitral diastolic flow velocity (A), and early diastolic mitral annulus velocity (E') were measured, and E/A and E/E' were calculated. The study sample was divided into 3 groups: normal weight (body mass index [BMI] <25.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), overweight (BMI 25.0 to 29.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), and obese (BMI ≥30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>).

**Results**

In multivariate analyses, BMI was independently associated with higher E, A, and E/E', an indicator of LV filling pressure (all  $p \leq 0.01$ ). Overweight and obese had lower E' (both  $p < 0.01$ ) and higher E/E' (both  $p < 0.01$ ) than normal weight participants. The E/A was lower in obese subjects than in normal weight subjects ( $p < 0.01$ ). The risk of diastolic dysfunction was significantly higher in overweight subjects (adjusted odds ratio: 1.52, 95% confidence interval: 1.04 to 2.22) and obese subjects (adjusted odds ratio: 1.60, 95% confidence interval: 1.06 to 2.41) compared to normal weight subjects.

**Conclusions**

Increased BMI was associated with worse LV diastolic function independent of LV mass and associated risk factors. The increased risk of LV diastolic dysfunction in both overweight and obese persons may partially account for the increased risk of heart failure associated with both conditions. (J Am Coll Cardiol 2011;57:1368–74)  
© 2011 by the American College of Cardiology Foundation

The prevalence of obesity is steadily increasing worldwide, and constitutes a major health issue because of its association with morbidity, mortality, and cardiovascular diseases (1–3). Obesity is an independent predictor of incident heart failure in the general population, and evidence exists that overweight also carries an increased risk of heart failure, which is intermediate between that of obese and lean persons (4,5). An increase in body size, besides being

associated with cardiovascular risk factors such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and hyperlipidemia, directly affects cardiac structure and function. The excess in body fat determines an increase in both pre-load and afterload due to a hyperdynamic circulation, chronic volume overload, and increase in peripheral resistance (6,7). In addition, it has been demonstrated that increased adiposity enhances the effect of blood pressure on left ventricular (LV) mass growth (8). As a result, LV dilation and increased LV mass are frequent findings in persons with increased body weight, with both eccentric and concentric LV geometric patterns described in these conditions (9–11).

Left ventricular diastolic dysfunction is a condition that reflects an impairment of the filling properties of the left ventricle that has been demonstrated to be a predictor of future development of heart failure in population settings (12–15). Left ventricular diastolic dysfunction might, therefore, represent one of the pathophysiological links between

From the \*Department of Medicine, Columbia University, New York, New York; †Department of Biostatistics, Columbia University, New York, New York; ‡Department of Neurology, Miller School of Medicine, University of Miami, Miami, Florida; §Department of Neurology, Columbia University, New York, New York; and the ||Department of Epidemiology and Human Genetics, Miller School of Medicine, University of Miami, Miami, Florida. This work was supported by the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (grant number R01 NS36286 to Dr. Di Tullio, and grant number R37 NS29993 to Drs. Sacco and Elkind). The authors have reported that they have no relationships to disclose.

Manuscript received August 23, 2010; revised manuscript received October 26, 2010, accepted October 28, 2010.

an increased body weight and the future occurrence of heart failure. Cardiovascular risk factors and cardiac structural changes associated with obesity/overweight are also major determinants of LV diastolic function (16,17). Whether an increased body weight is associated with an impairment of LV diastolic mechanics, independent of associated risk factors, has not been fully established. Accordingly, the aims of our study were: 1) to analyze the association between body size and LV diastolic function, assessed by transthoracic echocardiography, in a community-based cohort of subjects over age 50 years; 2) to evaluate the impact of associated risk factors on this relationship; and 3) to investigate the effect of different degrees of increased body size on the risk of LV diastolic dysfunction.

## Methods

**Study population.** The study cohort was derived from the NOMAS (Northern Manhattan Study), a population-based prospective study evaluating the incidence, risk factors, and clinical outcome of stroke in the population of northern Manhattan. Study design and methodological details have been described previously (18). From September 2005, the NOMAS subjects >50 years of age who voluntarily agreed to undergo a brain magnetic resonance imaging study and a more extensive echocardiographic evaluation including diastolic function assessment were included in the CABL (Cardiac Abnormalities and Brain Lesion) study. This subset of subjects constitutes the study population of the present report. Informed consent was obtained from all study participants. The study was approved by the institutional review board of Columbia University Medical Center.

**Risk factors assessment.** Hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure  $\geq 140$  mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure  $\geq 90$  mm Hg at the time of the visit (mean of 2 readings), or the patient's self-reported history of hypertension or use of antihypertensive medications. Diabetes mellitus was defined as fasting blood glucose  $\geq 126$  mg/dl or the patient's self-reported history of diabetes or use of diabetes medications. Hypercholesterolemia was defined as total serum cholesterol  $> 240$  mg/dl, and the patient's self-report of hypercholesterolemia or use of lipid-lowering treatment. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (kg) divided by height-squared ( $m^2$ ). According to a standard definition, overweight was defined as BMI between 25.0 and 29.9  $kg/m^2$ , and obesity as BMI  $\geq 30$   $kg/m^2$  (19).

**Echocardiographic assessment.** Transthoracic echocardiography was performed using a commercially available system (iE 33, Philips, Andover, Massachusetts) by a trained registered sonographer following a standardized protocol. The LV linear dimensions were measured from a parasternal long-axis view according to the recommendations of the American Society of Echocardiography (20). The LV mass

was calculated with a validated formula (21) and indexed both for body surface area (BSA) and height<sup>2.7</sup> (22). The LV relative wall thickness was calculated as follows:  $(2 \times \text{posterior wall thickness})$  divided by end-diastolic diameter (23). The LV ejection fraction was calculated by biplane modified Simpson's rule.

Left ventricular diastolic function assessment has been described previously in detail (17). Briefly, from an apical 4-chamber view, transmitral flow was sampled by pulsed-wave Doppler at the level of mitral valve leaflet tips. Peak velocities of the early phase (E) and late phase (A) of the mitral inflow were measured, and their ratio (E/A) was calculated. Left ventricular myocardial velocities were evaluated by tissue Doppler imaging (TDI). Pulsed TDI sample volume was placed at the level of the lateral and septal mitral valve annulus, and the peak early diastolic velocities (E') were measured and then averaged (24). The ratio between E and E' (E/E') was calculated as an index of LV filling pressures (25). Diastolic dysfunction was defined as 1) E/A  $\leq 0.7$  (impaired relaxation, grade I); or 2) E/A  $> 0.7$  and  $\leq 1.5$  and E'  $< 7$  cm/s (pseudonormalized pattern, grade II); or 3) E/A  $> 1.5$  and E'  $< 7$  cm/s (restrictive pattern, grade III) (17,24).

**Statistical analysis.** Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SD for continuous variables and as proportions for categorical variables. Differences between groups were assessed by 1-way analysis of variance and post-hoc multiple comparisons were performed using the Bonferroni correction. The Fisher's exact test was used to test differences between proportions. Multiple linear regressions were used to assess the independent association of BMI with diastolic function parameters. The predictors and the outcome variables were standardized with corresponding standard deviations and both nonstandardized (B) and standardized ( $\beta$ ) coefficient estimates and relative standard errors were reported. Covariates (age, sex, LV mass/height<sup>2.7</sup>, heart rate, hypertension, and diabetes) were entered in the models in a stepwise fashion, with entry and removal criteria set at a  $p < 0.1$ . Analysis of covariance was conducted to assess differences in diastolic function parameters between groups after adjusting for covariates. Estimated marginal means adjusted for covariates and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were derived. Multivariate logistic models were used to assess the risk of diastolic dysfunction associated with body size measures, and odds ratios (ORs) and relative 95% CI were derived.

For all statistical analyses, a 2-tailed  $p < 0.05$  was considered significant. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software version 17.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, Illinois).

## Abbreviations and Acronyms

<b>BMI</b>	= body mass index
<b>BSA</b>	= body surface area
<b>CI</b>	= confidence interval
<b>LV</b>	= left ventricular
<b>OR</b>	= odds ratio
<b>TDI</b>	= tissue Doppler imaging

## Results

**Study population.** The study population consisted of 950 participants. The study sample was divided into 3 groups: subjects with a BMI <25.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (normal weight group, n = 242), subjects with a BMI between 25.0 and 29.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (overweight group, n = 403), and subjects with a BMI ≥30 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (obese group, n = 305). Demographic, clinical, and echocardiographic characteristics of the 3 groups are shown in Table 1.

**BMI, LV mass, and cardiovascular risk factors.** Since obese and overweight participants showed higher LV mass when indexed by height<sup>2.7</sup>, but also greater prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors, which may in turn be responsible for an increased LV mass and worse diastolic function, we explored the independent association of BMI and cardiovascular risk factors with LV mass. A higher BMI was the strongest independent predictor of increased LV mass/height<sup>2.7</sup> ( $\beta = 0.28$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). Other independent predictors in the model were age ( $\beta = 0.15$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), hypertension ( $\beta = 0.17$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), and diabetes ( $\beta = 0.07$ ,  $p = 0.02$ ). Sex was not associated with LV mass index after adjustment for BMI ( $\beta = -0.03$ ,  $p = 0.23$ ). When LV mass was indexed by BSA instead of height<sup>2.7</sup>, no significant residual association was found between BMI and LV mass ( $\beta = 0.008$ ,  $p = 0.81$ ).

**BMI and diastolic function parameters.** The correlation between BMI and echocardiographic diastolic function parameters was tested in stepwise multivariate linear regression models (Table 2). Higher BMI was associated with higher peak E-wave ( $R^2 = 0.05$ ;  $p = 0.006$ ), higher peak A-wave ( $R^2 = 0.22$ ;  $p < 0.001$ ), lower E/A ( $R^2 = 0.08$ ;

$p = 0.01$ ), and higher E/E' ratio ( $R^2 = 0.20$ ;  $p = 0.001$ ), independent of factors influencing LV diastolic function including age, sex, LV mass, hypertension, diabetes, and heart rate.

In separate subanalyses by sex adjusted for age and LV mass index, we found that among men, BMI was significantly correlated with higher A ( $\beta = 0.14$ ,  $p = 0.01$ ), lower E/A ( $\beta = -0.12$ ,  $p = 0.03$ ), and lower E' ( $\beta = -0.12$ ,  $p = 0.02$ ). Among women, BMI was significantly correlated with higher E ( $\beta = 0.15$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), higher A ( $\beta = 0.17$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), and higher E/E' ( $\beta = 0.12$ ,  $p = 0.003$ ).

In a subanalysis of subjects without hypertension and diabetes (n = 223), the relation between BMI and E/E' ratio was still significant ( $\beta = 0.13$ ,  $p = 0.04$ ) independent of age, LV mass index, and heart rate (variables that were selected in the stepwise model; data not shown).

**LV diastolic function in overweight and obesity.** In multivariate comparisons (Table 3), peak E was significantly higher in obese subjects than in normal weight subjects ( $p < 0.01$ ). Peak A was significantly higher in the overweight group and the obese group (both  $p < 0.01$ ) compared with the normal weight group. The E/A ratio was significantly lower in obese patients compared with normal weight subjects ( $p < 0.01$ ). Peak E' was significantly lower in overweight subjects and obese subjects compared with normal weight persons (both  $p < 0.01$ ). The E/E' ratio was significantly higher in overweight subjects and obese subjects compared with normal weight persons (both  $p < 0.01$ ).

Prevalence of LV diastolic dysfunction in the overall sample was 53.5% (n = 508). Diastolic dysfunction was present in 50.8% of the normal weight group, in 54.2% of

**Table 1** Characteristics of the Study Population by BMI Categories

Characteristics (n = 950)	Normal Weight (BMI <25.0 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) (n = 242)	Overweight (BMI 25.0–29.9 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) (n = 403)	Obese (BMI ≥30.0 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) (n = 305)
Clinical/demographic data			
Age, yrs	73.9 ± 10.3	70.9 ± 8.9*	70.7 ± 8.8*
Women	135 (55.8)	233 (57.8)	216 (70.8)*‡
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	22.6 ± 1.9	27.4 ± 1.5*	33.8 ± 3.4*‡
Waist circumference, cm	85.4 ± 8.7	95.0 ± 8.3*	106.4 ± 10.7*‡
Systolic BP, mm Hg	132.4 ± 18.3	135.9 ± 16.1†	138.5 ± 18.2*
Diastolic BP, mm Hg	75.2 ± 9.8	78.6 ± 9.4*	79.8 ± 9.3*
Hypertension	149 (61.6)	284 (70.5)†	251 (82.3)*‡
Diabetes mellitus	47 (19.4)	112 (27.8)†	116 (38.0)*‡
Hypercholesterolemia	142 (58.7)	254 (63.0)	197 (64.8)
Echocardiographic data			
End-diastolic diameter, cm/m	2.71 ± 0.28	2.77 ± 0.28†	2.86 ± 0.29*‡
LV mass, g	172.4 ± 52.5	179.2 ± 47.5	196.2 ± 47.8*‡
LV mass/height <sup>2.7</sup> , g/m <sup>2.7</sup>	46.1 ± 14.2	49.3 ± 13.1*	56.0 ± 14.0*‡
LV mass/BSA, g/m <sup>2</sup>	104.5 ± 30.4	101.7 ± 24.8	104.3 ± 24.4
Relative wall thickness	0.49 ± 0.09	0.49 ± 0.09	0.51 ± 0.09
LV ejection fraction, %	62.2 ± 8.2	63.2 ± 7.4	64.5 ± 6.7*
Heart rate, beats/min	68.4 ± 10.7	70.7 ± 11.4†	70.1 ± 11.6

Values are mean ± SD or n (%). Multiple comparisons were carried out with the Bonferroni correction method. \* $p < 0.01$  and † $p < 0.05$  versus normal weight. ‡ $p < 0.01$  versus overweight.

BMI = body mass index; BP = blood pressure; BSA = body surface area; LV = left ventricle.

**Table 2** Relationship of LV Diastolic Function Parameters With BMI, Multivariate Linear Regression

	Peak E			Peak A			E/A Ratio			Peak E'			E/E' Ratio		
	B (SE)	$\beta$	p Value	B (SE)	$\beta$	p Value	B (SE)	$\beta$	p Value	B (SE)	$\beta$	p Value	B (SE)	$\beta$	p Value
<b>Model 1</b>															
BMI	0.42 (0.12)	0.11	<0.001	0.93 (0.13)	0.22	<0.001	−0.006 (0.002)	−0.09	0.005	−0.05 (0.01)	−0.14	<0.001	0.14 (0.02)	0.19	<0.001
Age	−0.03 (0.06)	−0.02	0.58	0.74 (0.07)	0.33	<0.001	−0.01 (0.001)	−0.19	<0.001	−0.09 (0.006)	−0.45	<0.001	0.12 (0.01)	0.33	<0.001
<b>Model 2</b>															
BMI	0.33 (0.12)	0.09	0.006	0.57 (0.14)	0.13	<0.001	−0.005 (0.002)	−0.08	0.01	−0.01 (0.01)	−0.03	0.29	0.05 (0.02)	0.08	0.01
Age	−0.05 (0.06)	−0.03	0.38	0.68 (0.07)	0.30	<0.001	−0.01 (0.001)	−0.19	<0.001	−0.07 (0.005)	−0.38	<0.001	0.10 (0.01)	0.26	<0.001
Male	−4.01 (1.19)	−0.11	0.001	−5.54 (1.28)	−0.13	<0.001	—	—	—	—	—	—	−0.72 (0.22)	−0.10	0.001
LV mass index	—	—	—	0.11 (0.05)	0.08	0.01	—	—	—	−0.03 (0.004)	−0.24	<0.001	0.05 (0.01)	0.20	<0.001
Heart rate	−0.22 (0.05)	−0.14	<0.001	0.37 (0.06)	0.20	<0.001	−0.006 (0.001)	−0.20	<0.001	—	—	—	−0.03 (0.01)	−0.09	0.003
Hypertension	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	−0.61 (0.11)	−0.16	<0.001	0.61 (0.24)	0.08	0.01
Diabetes	3.19 (1.28)	0.08	0.01	5.63 (1.37)	0.12	<0.001	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.71 (0.23)	0.09	0.002

Values in table are nonstandardized (B) with standard error (SE), standardized correlation coefficients ( $\beta$ ), and p values. Dashes indicate the exclusion of the variable from the stepwise model. Model 2 adjusted  $R^2$  values: 0.05 for peak early transmitral diastolic flow velocity (E), 0.22 for peak late transmitral diastolic flow velocity (A), 0.08 for E/A ratio, 0.29 for peak early diastolic mitral annulus velocity (E'), and 0.20 for E/E' ratio. Abbreviations as in Table 1.

the overweight group, and in 57.1% of the obese group ( $p = 0.34$ ). A pseudonormalized diastolic pattern was present in 16.7% of the normal weight group, 17.7% of the overweight group, and 24.3% of the obese group ( $p = 0.04$ ). A multivariate logistic model was used to assess the risk of LV diastolic dysfunction associated with the presence of overweight and obesity (Table 4). After adjusting for covariates, both the overweight group (OR: 1.52, 95% CI: 1.04 to 2.22,  $p = 0.03$ ) and the obese group (OR: 1.60, 95% CI: 1.06 to 2.41,  $p = 0.02$ ) had a significantly higher risk of diastolic dysfunction compared with the normal weight group. Body mass index as a continuous variable was also significantly associated with increased risk of diastolic dysfunction (adjusted OR for each BMI unit increase: 1.04, 95% CI: 1.01 to 1.07,  $p = 0.04$ ) and of pseudonormalized diastolic pattern (adjusted OR for each BMI unit increase: 1.05, 95% CI: 1.01 to 1.08,  $p = 0.02$ ). No significant interaction was found between sex and BMI on LV diastolic dysfunction ( $p$  value for the interaction = 0.61).

**Waist circumference and LV diastolic function.** All the previous analyses were also performed using the waist circumference as a measure of abdominal adiposity, in lieu of the BMI. In the multivariate linear regression analysis, waist circumference was significantly associated with peak A ( $\beta = 0.11$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) and with E/A ( $\beta = -0.06$ ,  $p = 0.05$ ). In the multivariate logistic model, an increased waist circumference (defined as  $\geq 88$  cm in women and  $\geq 102$  cm in men) was associated with a significant increase in risk of diastolic dysfunction (adjusted OR: 1.69, 95% CI: 1.22 to 2.35,  $p = 0.002$ ). Waist circumference as a continuous variable was also significantly associated with an increased risk of diastolic dysfunction (adjusted OR for each unit increase: 1.03, 95% CI: 1.01 to 1.04,  $p = 0.01$ ). No significant interaction was found between sex and waist circumference on LV diastolic dysfunction ( $p$  value for the interaction = 0.88).

## Discussion

We analyzed the association between measures of body size and LV diastolic function, measured by traditional Doppler analysis of mitral inflow and TDI-derived parameters, in an elderly, randomly derived community cohort. Our findings indicate that: 1) the relationship between BMI and diastolic function parameters is continuous and independent of cardiovascular risk factors that cluster with obesity, such as hypertension, diabetes, and LV hypertrophy; and 2) the overweight status is already associated with an impairment of LV diastolic function, close to that observed in obese persons. In fact, no significant differences were found in most parameters of diastolic function between obese and overweight subjects.

This observation was also confirmed by the similar OR for diastolic dysfunction associated with both conditions. Overweight and obese subjects had also higher risk of a pseudonormalized diastolic pattern. The use of TDI param-



**Table 3** Diastolic Function Parameters by BMI Categories

	Normal Weight (BMI <25.0 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) (n = 242)	Overweight (BMI 25.0–29.9 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) (n = 403)	Obese (BMI ≥30.0 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) (n = 305)
Peak E, cm/s	69.1 (1.2)	70.9 (0.9)	73.0 (1.0)*
Peak A, cm/s	84.2 (1.2)	89.1 (1.0)*	92.7 (1.1)*†
E/A ratio	0.87 (0.02)	0.84 (0.02)	0.81 (0.02)*
Peak E', cm/s	7.5 (0.1)	7.2 (0.08)*	7.0 (0.09)*
E/E' ratio	9.9 (0.2)	10.7 (0.2)*	11.1 (0.2)*

Values in the table are means adjusted for covariates. Covariates: age, sex, left ventricular mass index, heart rate, hypertension, and diabetes mellitus (standard error in parentheses). \*p < 0.01 versus normal weight. †p < 0.05 versus overweight.

Abbreviations as in Tables 1 and 2.

eters, less load-dependent than transmitral Doppler flow (26), allowed us to detect diastolic abnormalities in 183 subjects (19.6% of the study sample) who would otherwise have been classified as normal by Doppler flow analysis alone. Furthermore, the use of E/E' ratio, a widely used indicator of LV filling pressure (25) and an independent predictor of cardiac events including heart failure and myocardial infarction (27), revealed higher LV filling pressures in obese and overweight patients than in normal weight subjects. Cardiovascular risk factors were significantly more prevalent among overweight and obese persons than among normal weight persons. It is established that hypertension, diabetes, and increased LV mass negatively affect LV diastolic function (16,17). However, BMI was still associated with LV diastolic function parameters after controlling for hypertension and diabetes. A subanalysis performed on subjects without hypertension and diabetes further confirmed these findings. Moreover, the increased LV mass that we observed in overweight/obese patients was not just the result of the greater prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors in these subgroups. In fact, BMI was the main predictor of LV mass, independent of the presence of hypertension and diabetes.

Although the increased LV mass might be a contributor to the impairment of diastolic function observed in the overweight and obese groups, the relationship between BMI and diastolic function parameters was only slightly weakened by the adjustment for LV mass and other covariates, suggesting that different mechanisms may link the increase in BMI with the impairment in LV diastolic properties. In fact, there is ample evidence that the accumulation of adipose tissue may determine cardiovascular alterations in several metabolic and neurohormonal pathways, causing abnormalities in sodium handling, neuroendocrine activation, the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system, and increasing myocardial oxidative stress (28,29). Changes in myocardial metabolism have been demonstrated in obese patients,

with a shift toward free fatty acid utilization and subsequent cardiac lipotoxicity, resulting in cardiomyocyte apoptosis and reduced cardiac efficiency (30,31). In particular, myocardial fatty infiltration in obese patients may affect the cardiac structure and function, leading to the development of severe diastolic dysfunction (32,33).

This is the first large-scale study to evaluate the relation between increased body weight and LV diastolic function, in a randomly selected, elderly cohort, and to account for many factors that would have potentially affected diastolic function. In particular, we are the first to demonstrate that an impairment of diastolic function may already be present in overweight persons. Previous reports had shown a relationship between obesity and diastolic function in extremely selected samples of young women (34–36), in subjects without cardiovascular risk factors (37), or in extremely obese subjects (38,39). In a large study involving patients who underwent diagnostic coronary angiography, LV end-diastolic pressure was significantly higher in obese patients than in patients with a BMI <25 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (40). Surprisingly, in that study, no adjustment was performed for associated risk factors and comorbidities affecting diastolic function. Another study reported that waist circumference, but not BMI, was correlated to lower ventricular filling (41), which is in agreement with our findings. That study, however, did not perform TDI evaluation, which in our study allowed us to reveal a correlation between BMI and LV filling pressure.

**Study limitations.** Our evaluation of LV diastolic function by Doppler flow analysis did not include parameters such as isovolumic relaxation time, mitral valve deceleration time, or pulmonary venous flow. However, those parameters suffer from high load dependence, and the use of tissue Doppler parameters allowed us to detect diastolic abnormalities even when a pseudonormalized flow pattern was present. The mean age of the study cohort was high, and so was the prevalence of

**Table 4** Risk of Diastolic Dysfunction Associated With Overweight and Obesity

	Odds Ratio*	95% CI	p Value	Odds Ratio†	95% CI	p Value
Normal weight	Reference	—	—	Reference	—	—
Overweight	1.66	1.15–2.40	0.006	1.52	1.04–2.22	0.03
Obese	1.92	1.30–2.83	0.001	1.60	1.06–2.41	0.02

\*Adjusted for age and sex. †Adjusted for age, sex, left ventricular mass index, heart rate, hypertension, and diabetes mellitus.  
CI = confidence interval.

cardiovascular risk factors; therefore, our results may not be extrapolated to younger populations with lower cardiovascular risk profiles.

## Conclusions

LV diastolic dysfunction may be one of the pathophysiological links between overweight/obesity and the associated risk of having heart failure (4). Whereas in the past attention was paid essentially to obesity, our study demonstrates that subclinical signs of LV diastolic function impairment are present in overweight subjects too, and that these abnormalities are independent of associated risk factors. Therapeutic strategies aimed at promoting optimal body weight resulted in improvements in LV systolic and diastolic function (42,43), and might have a beneficial effect in preventing or delaying the future development of heart failure, a hypothesis that deserves further investigation.

## Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank Janet De Rosa, MPH (project manager); Rui Liu, MD, for preliminary interpretation of the echocardiographic studies; and Michele Alegre, RDCS, Rafi Cabral, MD, and Palma Gervasi-Franklin for collection and management of the data.

**Reprint requests and correspondence:** Dr. Marco R. Di Tullio, Division of Cardiology, Columbia University, College of Physicians and Surgeons, PH3-342, 630 West 168th Street, New York, New York 10032. E-mail: md42@columbia.edu.

## REFERENCES

- Whitlock G, Lewington S, Sherliker P, et al. Body-mass index and cause-specific mortality in 900 000 adults: collaborative analyses of 57 prospective studies. *Lancet* 2009;373:1083–96.
- Eckel RH, York DA, Rossner S, et al. Prevention conference VII. Obesity, a worldwide epidemic related to heart disease and stroke: executive summary. *Circulation* 2004;110:2968–75.
- Murphy NF, MacIntyre K, Stewart S, Hart CL, Hole D, McMurray JJ. Long-term cardiovascular consequences of obesity: 20-year follow-up of more than 15 000 middle-aged men and women (the Renfrew-Paisley study). *Eur Heart J* 2006;27:96–106.
- Kenchaiah S, Evans JC, Levy D, et al. Obesity and the risk of heart failure. *N Engl J Med* 2002;347:305–13.
- Loehr LR, Rosamond WD, Poole C, et al. Association of multiple anthropometrics of overweight and obesity with incident heart failure: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities study. *Circ Heart Fail* 2009;2:18–24.
- Alpert MA. Obesity cardiomyopathy: pathophysiology and evolution of the clinical syndrome. *Am J Med Sci* 2001;321:225–36.
- Palmieri V, de Simone G, Arnett DK, et al. Relation of various degrees of body mass index in patients with systemic hypertension to left ventricular mass, cardiac output, and peripheral resistance (The Hypertension Genetic Epidemiology Network Study). *Am J Cardiol* 2001;88:1163–8.
- Norton GR, Majane OH, Libhaber E, et al. The relationship between blood pressure and left ventricular mass index depends on an excess adiposity. *J Hypertens* 2009;27:1873–83.
- Lauer MS, Anderson KM, Levy D. Separate and joint influences of obesity and mild hypertension on left ventricular mass and geometry: the Framingham Heart Study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1992;19:130–4.
- de Simone G, Devereux RB, Roman MJ, Alderman MH, Laragh JH. Relation of obesity and gender to left ventricular hypertrophy in normotensive and hypertensive adults. *Hypertension* 1994;23:600–6.
- Turkbey EB, McClelland RL, Kronmal RA, et al. The impact of obesity on the left ventricle: the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2010;3:266–74.
- Bella JN, Palmieri V, Roman MJ, et al. Mitral ratio of peak early to late diastolic filling velocity as a predictor of mortality in middle-aged and elderly adults: the Strong Heart Study. *Circulation* 2002;105:1928–33.
- Schillaci G, Pasqualini M, Verdecchia P, et al. Prognostic significance of left ventricular diastolic dysfunction in essential hypertension. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2002;39:2005–11.
- Redfield MM, Jacobsen SJ, Burnett JC Jr., Mahoney DW, Bailey KR, Rodeheffer RJ. Burden of systolic and diastolic ventricular dysfunction in the community: appreciating the scope of the heart failure epidemic. *JAMA* 2003;289:194–202.
- Aurigemma GP, Gottdiener JS, Shemanski L, Gardin J, Kitzman D. Predictive value of systolic and diastolic function for incident congestive heart failure in the elderly: the Cardiovascular Health Study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2001;37:1042–8.
- Alpert MA, Lambert CR, Terry BE, et al. Influence of left ventricular mass on left ventricular diastolic filling in normotensive morbid obesity. *Am Heart J* 1995;130:1068–73.
- Russo C, Jin Z, Homma S, et al. Effect of diabetes and hypertension on left ventricular diastolic function in a high-risk population without evidence of heart disease. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2010;12:454–61.
- Sacco RL, Roberts JK, Boden-Albala B, et al. Race-ethnicity and determinants of carotid atherosclerosis in a multiethnic population. The Northern Manhattan Stroke Study. *Stroke* 1997;28:929–35.
- National Institutes of Health. Clinical guidelines on the identification, evaluation, and treatment of overweight and obesity in adults—the evidence report. *Obes Res* 1998;6 Suppl 2:51–209.
- Lang RM, Bierig M, Devereux RB, et al. Recommendations for chamber quantification: a report from the American Society of Echocardiography's Guidelines and Standards Committee and the Chamber Quantification Writing Group. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2005;18:1440–63.
- Devereux RB, Alonso DR, Lutas EM, et al. Echocardiographic assessment of left ventricular hypertrophy: comparison to necropsy findings. *Am J Cardiol* 1986;57:450–8.
- de Simone G, Daniels SR, Devereux RB, et al. Left ventricular mass and body size in normotensive children and adults: assessment of allometric relations and impact of overweight. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1992;20:1251–60.
- Ganau A, Devereux RB, Roman MJ, et al. Patterns of left ventricular hypertrophy and geometric remodeling in essential hypertension. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1992;19:1550–8.
- Nagueh SF, Appleton CP, Gillebert TC, et al. Recommendations for the evaluation of left ventricular diastolic function by echocardiography. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2009;22:107–33.
- Ommen SR, Nishimura RA, Appleton CP, et al. Clinical utility of Doppler echocardiography and tissue Doppler imaging in the estimation of left ventricular filling pressures: a comparative simultaneous Doppler-catheterization study. *Circulation* 2000;102:1788–94.
- Nagueh SF, Middleton KJ, Kopelen HA, Zoghbi WA, Quinones MA. Doppler tissue imaging: a noninvasive technique for evaluation of left ventricular relaxation and estimation of filling pressures. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1997;30:1527–33.
- Sharp AS, Tapp RJ, Thom SA, et al. Tissue Doppler E/E' ratio is a powerful predictor of primary cardiac events in a hypertensive population: an ASCOT substudy. *Eur Heart J* 2010;31:747–52.
- Gorzelnik K, Engeli S, Janke J, Luft FC, Sharma AM. Hormonal regulation of the human adipose-tissue renin-angiotensin system: relationship to obesity and hypertension. *J Hypertens* 2002;20:965–73.
- Vincent HK, Powers SK, Stewart DJ, Shanely RA, Demirel H, Naito H. Obesity is associated with increased myocardial oxidative stress. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 1999;23:67–74.
- Zhou YT, Grayburn P, Karim A, et al. Lipotoxic heart disease in obese rats: implications for human obesity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2000;97:1784–9.
- Sharp LR, Herrero P, Schechtman KB, et al. Effect of obesity and insulin resistance on myocardial substrate metabolism and efficiency in young women. *Circulation* 2004;109:2191–6.
- Iacobellis G, Ribaudo MC, Leto G, et al. Influence of excess fat on cardiac morphology and function: study in uncomplicated obesity. *Obes Res* 2002;10:767–73.

33. De Scheerder I, Cuvelier C, Verhaaren R, De Buyzere M, De Backer G, Clement D. Restrictive cardiomyopathy caused by adipositas cordis. *Eur Heart J* 1987;8:661–3.
  34. Peterson LR, Waggoner AD, Schechtman KB, et al. Alterations in left ventricular structure and function in young healthy obese women: assessment by echocardiography and tissue Doppler imaging. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2004;43:1399–404.
  35. Pascual M, Pascual DA, Soria F, et al. Effects of isolated obesity on systolic and diastolic left ventricular function. *Heart* 2003;89:1152–6.
  36. Kosmala W, O'Moore-Sullivan TM, Plaksej R, Kuliczowska-Plaksej J, Przewlocka-Kosmala M, Marwick TH. Subclinical impairment of left ventricular function in young obese women: contributions of polycystic ovary disease and insulin resistance. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2008;93:3748–54.
  37. Wong CY, O'Moore-Sullivan T, Leano R, Byrne N, Beller E, Marwick TH. Alterations of left ventricular myocardial characteristics associated with obesity. *Circulation* 2004;110:3081–7.
  38. Willens HJ, Chakko SC, Lowery MH, et al. Tissue Doppler imaging of the right and left ventricle in severe obesity (body mass index >35 kg/m<sup>2</sup>). *Am J Cardiol* 2004;94:1087–90.
  39. Di Bello V, Santini F, Di Cori A, et al. Relationship between preclinical abnormalities of global and regional left ventricular function and insulin resistance in severe obesity: a color Doppler imaging study. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2006;30:948–56.
  40. Powell BD, Redfield MM, Bybee KA, Freeman WK, Rihal CS. Association of obesity with left ventricular remodeling and diastolic dysfunction in patients without coronary artery disease. *Am J Cardiol* 2006;98:116–20.
  41. Libhaber CD, Norton GR, Majane OH, et al. Contribution of central and general adiposity to abnormal left ventricular diastolic function in a community sample with a high prevalence of obesity. *Am J Cardiol* 2009;104:1527–33.
  42. Alpert MA, Lambert CR, Panayiotou H, et al. Relation of duration of morbid obesity to left ventricular mass, systolic function, and diastolic filling, and effect of weight loss. *Am J Cardiol* 1995;76:1194–7.
  43. Kosmala W, O'Moore-Sullivan T, Plaksej R, Przewlocka-Kosmala M, Marwick TH. Improvement of left ventricular function by lifestyle intervention in obesity: contributions of weight loss and reduced insulin resistance. *Diabetologia* 2009;52:2306–16.
- 

**Key Words:** diastolic dysfunction ■ echocardiography ■ obesity ■ overweight ■ risk factors.